

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/955,301 09/19/2001		Sung-lyong Lee	P56585	8147
7590 11/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert E. Bus Suite 300	shnell		LEE, CHRISTOPHER E	
1522 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			2112	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/955,301	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	W
Christopher E. Lee	2112	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

condit	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛭	The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee hav fee und (2) as s	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension e been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension er 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if iled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(с) Methey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
a □.	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
4	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> .
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13,15 and 16</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other: Clenn A. Auve
	Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 cel/ CET

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In response to the Applicants' arguments regarding to the claim rejections based on the prior art of the record, the arguments are drawn to limitations which have not been entered for consideration because of the new issues, which extends the scope of the claimed invention, and requires further consideration and/or search. Even though the Applicants assert that the currently amended recitations in the claims 7, 12 and 16 are consistent with and similar to the recitations set forth claim 1, and thus the amendment of claims 7, 12 and 16 should not raise new issues requiring further consideration or search since the recitations in question have already been sarched and considered by the Examiner in connection with examination of claim 1, the Examiner still needs further consideration because the Applicants have amended the claim 1, and thus have changed the scope of the claimed invention in the claim 1. The extended scope of the claims 7, 12 and 16 had not been considered in the Final Office Action mailed on 18th of August 2004.